One-step microwave synthesis of magnetic biochars with sorption properties
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Abstract
Adsorption is one of the best methods for wastewater purification. The fact that water quality is continuously decreasing requires the development of novel, effective and cost available adsorbents. Herein, a simple procedure for the preparation of a magnetic adsorbent from agricultural waste biomass and ferrofluid has been introduced. Specifically, ferrofluid mixed with wheat straw was directly pyrolyzed either by microwave irradiation (900 W, 30 min) or by conventional heating (550°C, 90 min). Magnetic biochars were characterized by X-ray powder diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy, textural analysis and tested as adsorbents of As(V) oxyanion and cationic methylene blue, respectively. Results showed that microwave pyrolysis produced char with high adsorption capacity of As(V) ($Q_m = 25.6 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ at pH 4), whereas conventional pyrolysis was not so effective. In comparison to conventional pyrolysis, one-step microwave pyrolysis produced a material with expressive microporosity, having a nine times higher value of specific surface area as well as total pore volume. We assumed that sorption properties are also caused by several iron-bearing composites identified by Mössbauer spectroscopy ([super] paramagnetic Fe$_2$O$_3$, α-Fe, non-stoichiometric Fe$_3$C, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$, γ-Fe) transformed from nano-maghemite presented in the ferrofluid. Methylene blue was also more easily removed by magnetic biochar prepared by microwaves ($Q_m = 144.9 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ at pH 10.9) compared to using conventional techniques.
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1. Introduction

Water is a finite natural resource, and water pollution is one of the most pressing environmental problems that needs to be better addressed. In many regions, there is not enough water supply of appropriate quality for domestic (drinking water) or industrial use. Pollutants such as heavy metals, radionuclides, organic compounds (organic dyes, drugs, pesticides, PAHs, etc.) and other substances in water sources, even in relatively low concentration, have been identified as highly toxic and harmful to the environment and to human health. As a specific example, toxic metals/metalloids (e.g., cations of Cd, Pb, Hg, and oxyanions of As, Cr, Mo) have received increased attention due to their ability to accumulate in living organisms. Such pollutants are discharged into the environment through a number of industrial and agricultural activities.

There are several procedures for eliminating toxic substances from water. Sorption processes are considered to be promising treatment methods for the removal of metal ions in regard to cost/efficiency [1]. In recent years, considerable attention has been given to the removal of toxic metals from aqueous solutions using adsorbents derived from low-cost materials, such as agricultural waste, by-products, coal, as well as a mixture of biomass and coal [2]. Generally, adsorbents can be assumed to be low cost if they
require little processing, are abundant in nature or they are a by-product or waste material from another industry [3]. For example, pyrolysis of agricultural waste is used on a large scale to turn biomass into primary products such as bio-oil and/or gas. Secondary product biochar can be used for environmental applications (e.g., as cheap adsorbents of inorganic and/or organic pollutants) [4–12]. Biochars have also successfully been tested as cathode materials in sustainable and low-cost batteries [13]. Additionally, carbon biochars have a positive impact on soil fertility, vegetation of crops and nutrition capacity of soil, microorganism growth, cation-exchange capacity and reduction of greenhouse gases [13]. The positive soil effect relates to the textural properties as well as surface chemistry. Char produced from biomass has negatively charged sites on its porous surface, which results in the opposite charges attacking (therefore biochar is often used commercially to reduce soil acidity). In comparison to the initial biomass sample, biochar contributes a high carbon content, higher porosity and higher specific surface area. Moreover, biochar can be used as a feedstock for production of activated carbon either by chemical or physical activation in connection to a second pyrolytic step (two-stage pyrolysis) [4,14].

In general, due to their negative surface charge, biochars are effective adsorbents of toxic organic compounds and metals in cationic form. However, adsorption of metals and/or metalloids oxyanions (As, Cr) is still a demanding task. Iron-based oxides are considered to be good candidates for oxyanion removal from water [1,15]. This is due to electrostatic forces between the negatively charged arsenic and the positively charged iron oxide surface. Modification of the carbon matrix can improve the sorption properties and may lead to a higher selectivity of oxyanion removal from water [17]. This is to electrostatic forces between the negatively charged arsenic and the positively charged iron oxide surface. Modification of the carbon matrix can improve the sorption properties and may lead to a higher selectivity of oxyanion removal from water [17].

The synthesis of magnetic biochar is usually performed in one of three ways [18]. The first method is pyrolysis – the biomass (or other carbon material) is mixed with iron ions and then the sample is pyrolyzed. The second principle is direct modification of the biochar by iron ions through precipitation of iron ions on the carbon surface. The third technique is autoclave treatment, where agricultural waste and iron salts are treated at a defined pressure and temperature. There are also other non-conventional synthesis techniques such as mechanochemical synthesis [19] and microwave synthesis [20,21]. Recently, microwave pyrolysis has not been so frequently used for the production of pyrolytic oil or gases. However, microwave heating processes are currently subject to investigation for application in a number of fields. In contrast to conventional heating, where the material is heated from surface to core, microwaves work over the whole volume, and material is heated very quickly with quick thermal reaction. It is a homogeneous and an energetically efficient heating system [22]. In some cases, the process controlling (temperature control) is problematic, because the heating of some materials rapidly accelerates. In the case of the microwave treatment of intact biomass, where the material is heated very slowly, a susceptor of microwave irradiation (catalyst) must be used to heat the sample (such as a carbon or a metal oxide) [23,24].

In the present work: 1) natural magnetic carbon was prepared via a one-step pyrolytic procedure (microwave and conventional pyrolysis); 2) magnetic carbon was tested as the sorbent material; or 3) magnetic susceptibility measurements showed that the material can be removed from wastewater easily using an external magnetic field/magnetic filtration.

### 2. Materials and Method

#### 2.1. Preparation of magnetic carbon biochar

Magnetic biochar was prepared from agricultural waste biomass (wheat straw, WS) and ferrofluid (FF) as follows: WS (Triticum aestivum) was crushed (laboratory crusher FDV (MRC Ltd., Israel), and sieved to a granulometric fraction under 1 mm. Water-based FF was prepared following the patented procedure [25] by precipitation of Fe(II) and Fe(III) inorganic salts in the presence of ammonia at 80°C (water bath). Afterwards, magnetic particles were washed with deionized water to neutral pH. The magnetic nanoparticles were stabilized with oleic acid. Then, a suitable surfactant was used to disperse the particles in a carrier fluid. FF was mixed with WS (40 g of dry biomass with 200 mL of FF, ratio WS/FF=1/5) in order to produce the homogeneous magnetic paste. The fine magnetic paste was dried overnight at 80°C, and briquettes were made and then used either for microwave or conventional pyrolysis. The elemental analysis of the

| Table 1. Elemental (CHNS) analysis, ash content, total iron content and volume magnetic susceptibility (κ) of initial samples as well as samples after microwave and conventional pyrolysis |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Wheat straw          | 7.5  | 43.1 | 6.1  | 0.6  | 0.5  | 45.7 | -      | -      |
| WS:FF                | 30.1 | 38.3 | 5.6  | 0.4  | 0.7  | 24.9 | 17.4   | 493,150·10[^a^] |
| MWpyr WS:FF         | -    | 26.4 | 1.0  | 0.2  | 1.0  | -    | 40.4   | 188,995·10[^a^] |
| CONpyr WS:FF        | -    | 27.9 | 1.1  | 0.4  | 0.9  | -    | 34.5   | 185,515·10[^a^] |

[^a^] Values are presented percentage.

[^a^] Dry basis.
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Prepared samples is shown in Table 1.

Microwave pyrolysis was performed in a microwave oven (Panasonic NN-GD566M) with constant frequency (2450 MHz). A briquette (20 g) of the mixture WS and FF was pyrolyzed in a quartz flask at 900 W. The flask was flushed with nitrogen gas prior to the microwave conversion. After closing the vessel, the process was sustained for 30 min (obtained sample was labelled MWpyr WS:FF). Since the direct measurement of temperature is not possible during the microwave pyrolysis in a closed system, the temperature was measured by indirect method using a contactless Raytek infrared thermometer (RAYGPC series model with Marathon MM2MH sensor, temperature range 450°C–2250°C) and performed as follows: a prepared briquette of mixture WS:FF was added to the quartz flask and pyrolyzed in the microwave oven. The temperature was measured indirectly (after 10, 15, 20 min of microwave conversion), meaning the system was opened and the temperature of the sample was measured immediately with an infrared thermometer. The maximum surface temperature (950°C) was reached after 15 min.

Conventional pyrolysis of the briquette (20 g) was carried out also under nitrogen atmosphere in a horizontal quartz tube located in an electric furnace at 550°C for 90 min (heating rate 12°C/min). The sample was labelled as CONpyr WS:FF. After pyrolysis, both samples were washed with deionized water and also dialyzed against deionized water (10 L, 24 h).

2.2. Product analysis

CHNS analysis was performed using the elementary analyzer Vario MACRO cube (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Germany) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. The combustion tube was set to 1150°C, and the reduction tube to 850°C. Sulfanilamide (C=41.81%, N=16.26%, H=4.65%, S=18.62%) was used as a CHNS standard. The ash content was determined by burning in a muffle furnace at 815°C to a constant weight. Aqua regia (a mixture of nitric acid and hydrochloric acid at a molar ratio of 1:3) was used for the dissolution of the magnetic carbon biochar to determine the total iron content by AAS (Vario 240 RS/240 Z, Australia).

Volume magnetic susceptibility (κ) was measured by Kapabridge KLY-2 apparatus (Geophysics, Czech Republic) at the following condition: magnetic field intensity 300 A m⁻¹, field homogeneity 0.2 % and frequency 920 Hz.

An X-ray powder diffraction study was carried out using a D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker, Germany), working with Cu Kα radiation.

Surface properties of the studied samples were determined from the adsorption and desorption isotherms measured with the NOVA 1200e Surface Area & Pore Size Analyzer (Quantachrome Instruments, USA) by the method of physical adsorption of nitrogen at –196°C. Prior to the measurements, the samples were degassed at 100°C in a vacuum oven at a pressure below 2 Pa for 16 h. The measured data were processed by the BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) isotherm [26] in the range of relative pressure 0.05–0.3 to obtain the value of specific surface area (Sₐ). The values of the external surface (Sₑ) and the volume of micropores (Vₘᵥₑ) were calculated from the t-plot using the Harkins–Jura standard iso-therm. The value of the total pore volume (Vₘ) was estimated from the maximum adsorption at relative pressure close to saturation pressure. Pore size distribution was obtained from the desorption isotherm using the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda method [27].

²⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded with 512 channels and measured at room and low temperatures employing a laboratory Mössbauer spectrometer operating at a constant acceleration mode and equipped with a ⁵⁷Co(Rh) source. The low temperature Mössbauer spectra were recorded at 70 K and 5 K employing a Cryostation (Montana Instruments) closed-cycle cryogen-free system to which a Mössbauer spectrometer was mounted. The acquired Mössbauer spectra were processed (i.e., noise filtering and fitting) using the MossWinn software program. The isomer shift values were referred to an α-Fe foil sample at room temperature.

The particle morphology was studied by field emission scanning electron microscope, using a TESCAN MIRA3 FE (TESCAN, Czech Republic) and a high resolution transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM) using a JEOL JEM-2100F UHR (JEOL, Japan). Prior to the TEM investigations, powders were crushed in a mortar, dispersed in ethanol and fixed on a copper-supported carbon grid.

2.3. Zeta potential

Zeta potential was measured using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Panalytical, UK) to obtain the isoelectric point (IEP). The Zetasizer Nano measures the electrophoretic mobility of the particles, which is converted to the zeta potential using the Helmholz–Smoluchowski equation built into the Zetasizer software. The zeta potential of the samples (concentration 2 g L⁻¹) was measured in 0.1 M NaNO₃, within different pH ranges, which were adjusted by the addition of 2 M NaOH or HNO₃. Afterwards, the measurements were repeated three times for each sample.

2.4. Sorption experiments

Sorption properties of magnetic chars after conventional or microwave pyrolysis were studied in reference to As(V) and methylene blue (MB). The sorption properties were studied under batch-type conditions. The sorbent concentration was 2 g L⁻¹, and the experiments were performed at room temperature in a rotary shaker set at 30 rpm with the equilibrium time of 24 h. Model solutions of As(V) were prepared by dissolving AsHNa₄O₄·7H₂O in deionized water. The metal quantity (As, Fe) in the solutions was determined by AAS. The measurement of MB concentrations was performed using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Helios Gamma, Thermo Electron Corporation, UK). The maximum wavelength for MB was found to be 663 nm. After adsorption, the respective concentrations were calculated using calibration curves within the range of 0–20 mg/L. The pH was adjusted by the addition of 2 M NaOH or HNO₃.

The sorption experiments were evaluated by Langmuir [28] (an isotherm for monolayer adsorption on a homogeneous surface) and Freundlich modeling [29] (an isotherm for multilayer adsorption on a heterogeneous surface), respectively. Thus, the adsorption process was well described by constants obtained.
The Langmuir isotherm is defined according to the equation:

$$q_e = Q_m \frac{bC_e}{1 + bC_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $q_e$ is an equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$), $Q_m$ is the maximum adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$), $C_e$ is the equilibrium metal concentration, and $b$ is a Langmuir constant characterizing the affinity between the adsorbed molecule and the adsorbent (L mg$^{-1}$).

The Freundlich isotherm is defined according to:

$$q_e = K_F C_e^\frac{1}{n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $K_F$ (L g$^{-1}$) and $n$ are the constants of the isotherm. $Q_m$, $b$, $K_F$, and $n$ were determined from the experimental values using the linearized form of previous equations.

In the case of the Langmuir isotherm, the linearized form is:

$$\frac{Q_e}{Q_m} = \frac{1}{bQ_m} + \frac{1}{Q_m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The most important value is the slope ($1/Q_m$). It is the inverse value of the maximum adsorption capacity.

The linearized form of the Freundlich isotherm is as follows:

$$\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

3. Results

3.1. Elemental analysis, X-ray powder diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy

Agricultural waste biomass (WS) contained 43.1% elemental carbon and 6.1% hydrogen (Table 1). Before the mixing of the WS with FF, the FF was studied in detail in order to know the particular phase composition. In general, FF is a colloidal suspension of magnetite or maghemite. Fig. 1a shows the X-ray diffraction patterns and Mössbauer spectroscopy study of dried FF. Several broad peaks were recorded by X-ray diffraction, which can be assigned to the spinel structure of magnetite or maghemite. X-ray diffraction is not able to differentiate between them, especially when the particles are extremely small (several nanometers) and the recorded peaks are relatively broad. Therefore, Mössbauer spectroscopy was applied in order to discern between magnetite/maghemite phases (Fig. 1b-d, Table 2). Additionally, Mössbauer spectra were taken at three different temperatures ($T=5$ K, $T=150$ K and room temperature, respectively). The room temperature Mössbauer spectrum (Figure 1B) shows a sextet with broad spectral lines, which were fitted by a distribution of hyperfine magnetic fields. An isomer shift of 0.34 mm s$^{-1}$ and quadrupole splitting close to zero are typical for Fe$^{3+}$ atoms with cubic symmetry in the spinel structure. More detailed information can be obtained from the low temperature Mössbauer spectra (150 K, Fig. 1c; 5 K, Fig. 1d). Both spectra were evaluated by two sextet components (Table 2) corresponding to tetrahedral and octahedral Fe atoms in the cubic spinel structure of maghemite. The ratios of the sub-spectrum areas slightly differ from those for stoichiometric maghemite, i.e. Tetrahedral/Octahedral=37.5%/62.5%.

Fig. 1. X-ray powder diffraction (a) and $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy study (b, c) of dried ferrofluid. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra were taken at room temperature (b), 150 K (d) and 5 K (d), respectively.
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The structural changes of magnetic nanoparticles upon microwave pyrolysis were analyzed using X-ray powder diffraction. The diffraction patterns of the initial sample and the microwave pyrolysis sample are shown in Fig. 2a. The X-ray diffraction patterns of both samples indicated the presence of magnetite/maghemite nanoparticles. However, the diffraction pattern of the microwave pyrolysis sample showed a significant decrease in the intensity of the diffraction peaks, indicating a decrease in the crystallinity of the magnetic nanoparticles.

Table 2. Mössbauer parameters for dried ferrofluid and magnetic biochar after microwave pyrolysis (MWpyr WS:FF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>IS (mm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>QS (mm s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>H (T)</th>
<th>I (%)</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrofluid (T=150 K)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>$\gamma$-FeO$_2$ - sextet (tetrahedral position of Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>$\gamma$-FeO$_2$ - sextet (octahedral position of Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrofluid (T=5 K)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>$\gamma$-FeO$_2$ - sextet (tetrahedral position of Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>$\gamma$-FeO$_2$ - sextet (octahedral position of Fe$^{3+}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWpyr WS:FF</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>(Super) paramagnetic FeO$_2$ - doublet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(room temperature)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>$\alpha$-Fe - sextet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>FeC - sextet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\gamma$-FeO$_2$ - sextet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mössbauer spectra were measured at different temperatures, and they are presented in Fig. 1c and d (ferrofluid) and Fig. 2b (MWpyr WS:FF).

According to Table 1, WS:FF contained 17.4% of iron (Fe$_{total}$) predominantly in the form of maghemite nanoparticles. The magnetic nanoparticles were responsible for the high value of volume magnetic susceptibility ($493,150 \times 10^{-6}$ SI units). After pyrolysis, the measured content of carbon decreased ($C_{CONpyr \ WS:FF}=27.9\%$; $C_{MWpyr \ WS:FF}=26.4\%$) and the total iron content of both samples increased differently ($Fe_{total,CONpyr \ WS:FF}=34.5\%$; $Fe_{total,MWpyr \ WS:FF}=40.4\%$). Despite the fact that iron content considerably increased, a loss of magnetic properties was recorded (Table 1). The measured value of the volume magnetic susceptibility decreased more than twice ($\kappa_{CONpyr \ WS:FF}=185,515 \times 10^{-6}$ SI units; $\kappa_{MWpyr \ WS:FF}=188,995 \times 10^{-6}$ SI units). Nevertheless, both samples are still strong magnetic materials, which enable them to be easily removed from water by applying a low-intensity magnetic field.

X-ray powder diffraction (Fig. 2a) was selected to find the main differences in phase distribution between both samples. It is clearly shown that the initial sample (composite of WS and FF) contained broad peaks assigned to magnetic/maghemite nanoparticles. In the case of conventional pyrolysis, the structure of magnetic particles was unchanged (the same diffraction pattern recorded in the sample before pyrolysis).

Microwave pyrolysis caused chemical changes of FFs. Only a few peaks were recorded by X-ray powder diffraction. Peaks of maghemite nanoparticles disappeared, and X-ray diffraction indicated that $\alpha$-Fe, $\gamma$-Fe and FeC could be generated. Consequently, for better identification of Fe-bearing components, $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy was applied to describe iron phase transformation during the microwave pyrolysis (MWpyr WS:FF) (see Fig. 2b and Table 2). $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy confirmed that maghemite nanoparticles presented in FFs reduce to metallic iron ($14.3\%$ of $\alpha$-Fe and $2.9\%$ of $\gamma$-Fe) and also react with carbon from biomass, and produced carbon iron ($28.6\%$ of FeC) substances, probably with different stoichiometry. Moreover, iron oxide components (Fe$_2$O$_3$) are still present in the MWpyr WS:FF sample and show superparamagnetic behavior. Overall, it was shown that conventional pyrolysis performed at 550°C is not able to cause phase changes in maghemite like in the case of microwave pyrolysis.

Relevant links: http://carbonlett.org
3.2. Textural properties and particle surface

Low-temperature nitrogen adsorption was used for characterization of textural properties of samples after the conventional and microwave pyrolysis. Both isotherms (Fig. 3a) were of type IV with a hysteresis loop corresponding with the capillary condensation in the mesopores [27].

The sample after microwave pyrolysis showed a higher volume of adsorbed gas in the whole range of relative pressure in comparison to the sample prepared by conventional method, which corresponds to the higher value of total pore volume and specific surface area, respectively (Table 3).

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

Fig. 3. Textural properties and pH dependent zeta potential of studied sorbents. (a) Adsorption and desorption isotherms of samples after conventional and microwave pyrolysis. Top left inset: pore size distribution curves of samples after conventional and microwave pyrolysis (STP means standard temperature and pressure, t=0°C, p=101.325 kPa). (b) Zeta potential of studied sorbents at different pH (p <1.9). The hysteresis loop of both samples is open (decrease of desorbed gas volume is observed for relative pressure \( p/p_0 \approx 0.45 \)). For CONpyr WS:FF, this could be caused by irreversible adsorption of molecules in pores with equal diameters as molecules of adsorbate. For the MWpyr WS:FF sample, this could also correspond to the higher microporosity [30]. While the value of micropore volume for the CONpyr WS:FF sample is under the interval of accuracy determination, for the MWpyr WS:FF sample the significant value of \( V_{\text{micro}} \) was obtained from the t-plot analysis. Also, the value of specific surface area is almost equal to the value of external surface for the CONpyr WS:FF sample. On the other hand, the value of external surface was lower than the specific surface of the MWpyr WS:FF sample, which also corresponds to the presence of micropores in its structure. From the shape of the pore size distribution curve for the CONpyr WS:FF sample, it can be concluded that the distribution is without the express maximum or broad distribution in the mesopore range, and the sample is meso-macroporous. The MWpyr WS:FF sample showed broader distribution in the range of pore diameters 4.5–16 nm (Fig. 3a, top left inset). In comparison to conventional pyrolysis, the microwave method allowed obtaining material with more developed porosity and texture, with a higher value of specific surface area, total pore volume and enhanced microporosity.

Except for the degree of porosity, distribution of micro/mesopores, sorption depends on the chemistry of the particle surface and electrochemical properties. However, both porosity and surface chemistry are related to each other. The zeta potential measurements (Fig. 3b) show that the IEP value of both samples is different. In comparison to MWpyr WS:FF, the sample after conventional pyrolysis shows a more negative value of zeta potential across the whole pH range. The sample after microwave pyrolysis shows pH<1.9, whereas the conventionally pyrolyzed composite exhibits a more positive value (pHi<1.9). The more positive zeta potential value of MWpyr WS:FF marks the sample for better adsorption of anions via electrostatic forces (e.g., more positive adsorbate surface and negative anions of arsenic).

Since the sample after microwave pyrolysis shows better textural properties, it is different from the point of view of phase composition, and we were aware that it showed much better sorption properties (see section 3.3. Sorption properties versus pH and adsorption isotherms). TEM and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) studies were also performed (Fig. 4). FE-SEM/energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) confirmed a heterogeneous distribution of iron onto carbon matrix. EDX mapping (Fig. 4b) shows that some parts are completely coated with iron, while other parts are not.

Table 3. Textural parameters of samples after conventional and microwave pyrolysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( S_{\text{BET}} ) (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>( C_{\text{BET}} )</th>
<th>( V_{\text{total}} ) (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
<th>( V_{\text{micro}} ) (cm³ g⁻¹)</th>
<th>( S_{\text{ext}} ) (m² g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONpyr WS:FF</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWpyr WS:FF</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>0.1832</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>93.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of specific surface area \( S_{\text{BET}} \), \( C_{\text{BET}} \) constant, total pore volume \( V_{\text{total}} \), volume of micropores and external surface \( S_{\text{ext}} \) calculated from the BET isotherm and t-plot method.
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3.3. Sorption properties versus pH and adsorption isotherms

The sorption affinity of both samples (CONpyr WS:FF, MWpyr WS:FF) of As(V) and MB was investigated. Adsorption of As(V) and MB strongly depends on pH (Figs. 5a and 6a, respectively). As(V) present in the aqueous solution occurs in the form of oxyanions; MB is a cationic dye.

Compared to conventional pyrolysis, where the sorption of As(V) was relatively low across the whole pH range, the magnetic biochar coming from microwave pyrolysis showed excellent sorption properties (Fig. 5a). The best sorption was reached at acidic pH (45.4 mg of As per gram of MWpyr WS:FF). On the other hand, iron leaching from the magnetic biochar (MWpyr WS:FF) under pH 3.5 was observed (see Fig. 5a, top right inset). It proved that the sample after microwave pyrolysis is unstable in acidic conditions (pH < 3.5), whereas the CONpyr WS:FF sample was unaffected from the point of view of iron leaching. The next goal was to determine the maximum sorption capacity of the adsorbent. Experiments based on the determination of maximum sorption capacity ($Q_m$) or adsorption isotherms were carried out at stable conditions (pH > 3.5). Equilibrium pH 4 was selected to determine $Q_m$ and the mechanism of the sorption process. Figure 5B shows experimental data of sorption capacity at different initial concentration of As(V) for the MWpyr WS:FF sample. The isotherms have been fitted according to the Langmuir and Freundlich models. In comparison to the Freundlich model ($R^2=0.777$), the adsorption process is better characterized by the Langmuir model with a correlation coefficient of $R^2=0.998$. The maximum sorption capacity calculated from the Langmuir isotherm (Eq. 3) was 25.6 mg g$^{-1}$. The high adsorption capacity was also confirmed by high value of the Freundlich constant ($K_F = 7.87$ L g$^{-1}$) calculated according to Eq. 4.

Additionally, both magnetic biochars were tested as a sorbents of cationic MB (Fig. 6a). Increased adsorption of MB due to increasing pH was observed. This is related to the electrostatic attraction between the positively charged adsorbate (MB) and the negatively charged adsorbent surface. The biggest jump in the sorption capacity value of both of the tested samples was observed from pH 9.9 to 10.9. The best adsorption was observed at pH 10.9, which corresponds with previously published papers [31,32]. The microwave pyrolyzed sample showed higher adsorption capacity compared to the sample prepared by the conventional method. Since there is a big increase of MB sorption between pH 9.9 and 10.9, the experiments related to maximum sorption capacity determination were performed at different pH values (Fig. 6b). The aim was to compare the adsorption mechanism. Freundlich and Langmuir models were applied for evaluation of adsorption isotherms at pH 7.0, 9.9 and 10.9. The highest maximum sorption capacity was obtained at pH 10.9 ($Q_m = 144.9$ mg g$^{-1}$). Then, with decreasing pH, the sorption affinity decreases ($Q_m = 56.8$ mg g$^{-1}$ at pH 7). The Langmuir model appeared to

![Fig. 4. Field emission scanning electron micrograph (a) with EDX analysis (b) and HR-TEM image (c) of the MWpyr WS:FF sample.](http://carbonlett.org)
be the best method for fitting the adsorption isotherms at pH 7.0 and pH 9.9, respectively (see correlation coefficients in Table 4). An exception is at pH 10.9, where the isotherm can be fitted by both the Langmuir ($R^2=0.981$) and Freundlich models ($R^2=0.947$). This suggests that the mechanism of the adsorption process of MB is different as it was in the case of pH 7.0 or 9.9.

4. Discussions

4.1. Comments to the microwave pyrolysis

Initially, WS (without any susceptor) was pyrolyzed by microwaves; however, only water evaporated, and no other effect was observed (for example, carbonization, gas and oil production). In contrast, WS mixed with FF caused a strong exothermal process connected with liquefaction and gas production after one minute of microwave irradiation in briquette form. The magnetic nanoparticles inherited by FFs were a susceptor of microwaves during the pyrolysis. The microwave pyrolysis process of the sample can be described as follows. Microwaves penetrate the sample and continue to decay, and the microwave energy is transformed into heat. Microwave pyrolysis is carried out layer by layer, and thus from inside to outside [33]. Parts of the biomass particles are heated rapidly and decompose into carbon and volatiles. Since the pyrolysis of the internal material occurs first, the primary pyrolysis products released will pass through a low temperature zone and thus, the probability of occurrence of secondary cracking drops significantly. Due to the heat transfer, the external particles are heated and pyrolyzed again, and most of the volatiles released in the process are diffused to the low temperature area outside, while only a few are diffused to the internal high temperature zone [33]. During the microwave irradiation, the carbon plays the role of reducing agent. Inside the microwave-irradiated briquette, the heating and the reduction are taking place locally. Microwave-induced carbothermic reductions show that the reductions are occurring at temperatures lower than by conventional heating, the rates are faster and the form and quantity of the carbon employed plays an important role in the course of the reduction. Inside the microwave-irradiated composite, localized reduction microenvironments are generated [34]. In our case, indirect temperature measurement showed the maximum temperature on the surface to be 950°C. We assumed that a higher temperature was generated inside the sample, as red-hot points and in some cases white points were observed.

4.2. Discussion on phase analysis and physicochemical properties

Table 1 contains the general characterization (elemental [CHNS] analysis, ash content, total iron content and volume magnetic susceptibility) of the material before pyrolysis as well as after microwave conversion and conventional heating under nitrogen atmosphere. One notable result is that both pyrolyzed products still possess a high value of volume magnetic susceptibility, although volume magnetic susceptibility decreases by more than 50%. Surprisingly, at microwave irradiation where phase transformation of iron-bearing components was detected (see X-ray diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy) and high temperature was generated, the high magnetic susceptibility of the component was demonstrated. According to X-ray diffraction, conventional pyrolysis at 550°C has no effect on the iron oxide structure. The spinel structure is present in both spectra (before and after conventional conversion). Decreased volume magnetic susceptibility indicates the redistribution of Fe atoms in the spinel structure or maghemite phase degradation; however, it is difficult to recognize this by X-ray diffraction. In the case of microwave pyrolysis, iron oxide was reduced by pyrolyzed carbon according to the reaction:

$$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \rightarrow \text{FeO} \rightarrow \text{Fe}$$

Products such as $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ and $\text{FeO}$, and final products such as $\alpha$-Fe and $\gamma$-Fe can be formed in different stoichiometry. In this case, Mössbauer spectroscopy proved that microwave pyrolysis produces several phases such as $\text{Fe}_2\text{C}$ (28.6%), (super) paramagnetic $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ (33.5%), $\alpha$-Fe (14.3%), $\gamma$-Fe$_3$O$_4$ (20.7%) and $\gamma$-Fe (2.9%) (Table 2). As mentioned previously, during microwave
conversion, the sample is heated from the inside to the outside, and thus the oxidation/reduction conditions are not the same across the whole sample. The existence of metallic iron resulted from the high temperature and the presence of the reduction atmosphere during pyrolysis. The formation of new substances (carbides) is related to the phenomena of microwave heating, where the pyrolysis process is very quick and high temperature is generated. Finally, high local temperature and no oxygen access are responsible for the formation of metallic iron and iron carbides. Thus, Fe and Fe₃C is an indicator of a reduction environment, whereas Fe₃O₄ indicates oxidation conditions. All identified phases of Fe-bearing products contributed to the magnetic properties and the resulting magnetic susceptibility reflects individual iron-bearing phases. The final volume magnetic susceptibility was 188,995·10⁻¹⁴ SI units. In comparison to the sample before pyrolysis, it reflects about 40% of magnetic susceptibility (Table 1). We assume that the decreasing of magnetic susceptibility is caused by a transformation of maghemite nanoparticles to the above characterized products during microwave conversion. In more detail, γ-Fe₃O₄ has a spinel ferrite structure similar to magnetite and is ferrimagnetic; α-Fe is in a cubic planar centred lattice with ferromagnetic properties and γ-Fe has antiferromagnetic properties. Moreover, Fe₃C possesses an orthorhombic structure with ferromagnetic properties. It is well known that iron carbides (Fe₃C, Fe₇C₃, Fe₅C₃) have a higher saturation magnetization than α-Fe₃O₄ [35-37]. However, the magnetic properties allow the resulting product to be magnetically separated after sorption.

### 4.3. Adsorption of arsenic (V) and MB with magnetic biochar

The structure, porosity and chemical composition have a significant effect on the adsorption properties of prepared materials. Both samples (MWpyr WS:FF; CONpyr WS:FF) were tested in respect to the As(V) anion and cationic MB. The adsorption ability of the adsorbents relates to the chemical composition, physicochemical, textural and electrochemical properties.

Our investigation showed that microwave pyrolysis and conventional pyrolysis produce totally different products. For instance, the specific surface area and total pore volume of MWpyr WS:FF was nine times higher in comparison to CONpyr WS:FF. Moreover, during microwave conversion, new inorganic phases were identified by X-ray (Fig. 2a) and Mössbauer spectroscopy (Fig. 2b, Table 2). Various iron phases (superparamagnetic Fe₃O₄, α-Fe, Fe₃C, γ-Fe₃O₄, γ-Fe) provide sorption sites for arsenic in aqueous solutions, and thus greatly improve the composite removal ability of arsenic. In this study, magnetic biochar after conventional pyrolysis shows the sorption capacity of As(V) under 5 mg g⁻¹ (Fig. 5a), which is higher as published in the majority of papers. For example, in the case of As(V), Zhang et al. [38] reported that the Qₘ of biochar/γ-Fe₃O₄ composite was 3.147 mg g⁻¹. In this paper [38], the authors compare the sorption properties of several iron oxides as well as iron composites of As(V): γ-Fe₃O₄, 4.643 mg g⁻¹ [39]; hydrous iron oxide, 8.0 mg g⁻¹ [40]; iron modified activated carbon, 1.92–6.57 [41]; magnetite–magnemite nanoparticles, 10.6 mg g⁻¹ [42]; perlitic/γ-Fe₂O₃ composite, 4.64 mg g⁻¹ [43]; flowerlike γ-Fe₃O₄, 4.75 mg g⁻¹; α-Fe₂O₃, 5.31 mg g⁻¹; and Fe₃O₄, 4.65 mg g⁻¹ and commercial α-Fe₂O₃, 4.6 mg g⁻¹ [44]. Wang et al. [17] showed that magnetic biochar synthesized by pyrolyzing a mixture of naturally-occurring hematite mineral and pinewood biomass had a much greater ability in removing arsenic from an aqueous solution in comparison to the unmodified biochar. Additionally, magnetic char has stronger magnetic properties and can be easily isolated and removed employing external magnets. The Langmuir maximum sorption capacity of As for unmodified biochar shows a satisfactory 0.265 mg g⁻¹ and 0.429 mg g⁻¹ for hematite modified biochar, respectively. It also indicates that the hematite modification roughly doubled the As sorption ability of the biochar.

In the case of MWpyr WS:FF, the maximum sorption capacity of As(V) obtained from the Langmuir isotherm (Eq. 3) was 25.6 mg g⁻¹ at pH 4.0 (Fig. 5b). A higher value sorption capacity can be reached at lower pH values (Fig. 5a). In general, when the pH in the solution decreased, the positive charge on the interface between the particles and the solution increased, and the positive charge appeared on the surface. The sorption capacity increased to 45.4 mg g⁻¹ at an initial concentration of As(V) 99.3 mg L⁻¹. Unfortunately, the stability of sorbent decreases with higher acidity (leaching of iron). In the case of magnetic adsorbents, only several papers present a maximum sorption capacity higher than 20 mg g⁻¹. Shen et al. [45] demonstrated that the monolayer adsorption capacity of As(V) onto mesoporous carbon aerogel adsorbent was 56.2 mg g⁻¹ at pH 7.0. In a review by Mehta et al. [46], different magnetic adsorbents were compared for the removal of diverse pollutants such as heavy metals, non-metals, dyes and organic pollutants from water. For As(V) removal, several magnetic adsorbents showed a high value of Qₘ (e.g., multi-walled boron nitride nanotubes functionalized with Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, 32.2 mg g⁻¹ [47]; cetyltrimethylammonium bromide modified Fe₃O₄ particles, 23.07 mg g⁻¹ [48]; ascorbic acid-coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, 16.56 mg g⁻¹ [49]; superparamagnetic ultra-
fine magnesium ferrite nanoparticles, 83.2 mg g⁻¹ [50]; copper ferrite from PCB sludge, 45.66 mg g⁻¹ [51]; and Fe₃O₄-loaded activated carbon from waste biomass, 204.2 mg g⁻¹ [52]).

The positive effect of microwaves was proven also in the case of MB adsorption tests (Fig. 6a). Firstly, the conventionally pyrolyzed sample is inferior from the viewpoint of MB adsorption across the whole pH range compared to MWpyr WS:FF. The best adsorption properties of CONpyr WS:FF were observed in alkaline conditions at pH 10.9 (Qₑ = 60 mg g⁻¹). A detailed analysis of MWpyr WS:FF by adsorption isotherms at three different pH values was carried out (Fig. 6b). The best sorption affinity was reached at pH 10.9 (Qₑ = 144.9 mg g⁻¹). The high adsorption capacity is caused by the combination of favorable properties of the sorbent (negative charge at alkaline pH, high specific surface area and porosity). Our results are comparable with the published data. For example, magnetic biochar derived from the empty fruit bunch showed a maximum adsorption capacity of 31.25 mg g⁻¹ [14]. Thiines et al. [53] studied the adsorption properties of MB on magnetic biochar. The maximum adsorption capacity of MB was reached by humic acid-coated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles, 0.291 mmol g⁻¹ [54]; magnetic chitosan/graphene oxide, 180.83 mg g⁻¹ [55]; graphene nanosheet/magnete composite, 43.82 mg g⁻¹ [56]; Fe₃O₄ modified with 3-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxy-silane and glycine, 158 mg g⁻¹ [57]; carbon nanotube modified magnetic nanoparticles, 48.1 mg g⁻¹ [58]; and epichlorohydrin-reticulated magnetic alginate beads, 0.7 mmol g⁻¹ [59].

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that microwave pyrolysis is an economical and simple procedure for the synthesis of magnetic sorbents from agricultural waste biomass (WS) and FF. Magnetic biochar showed excellent sorption properties (Qₑ(As)=25.6 mg g⁻¹; Qₑ(MB)=144.9 mg g⁻¹). In comparison to conventional pyrolysis, one-step microwave conversion allowed obt-
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